

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

10/750,275 12/30/2003 Robert A. Luciano 10407/989 9180 30076 7590 05/04/2006 EXAMINER BROWN RAYSMAN MILLSTEIN FELDER & STEINER, LLP HSU, RYAN 1880 CENTURY PARK EAST ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1.OS ANGELES, CA, 90067 3714	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
BROWN RAYSMAN MILLSTEIN FELDER & STEINER, LLP 1880 CENTURY PARK EAST 12TH FLOOR ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	10/750,275	12/30/2003	Robert A. Luciano	10407/989		
1880 CENTURY PARK EAST 12TH FLOOR ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	30076 75	590 05/04/2006		EXAM		
12TH FLOOR ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	1880 CENTURY PARK EAST			HSU, RYAN		
12TH FLOOR				ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		LOS ANGELES, CA 90067				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/750,275	LUCIANO ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ryan Hsu	3714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee						
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as te of the final rejection, even if timely filed				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>		f				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a second content of the present additional claims are content. 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej	TE below); ducing or simplifying the issues for				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		manifornt Amoundary and (DTOL 22.4)				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the						
non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected to the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		Il be entered and an explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:	•					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•					
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. 						
10. [] The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowance because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Other:						
•						
		·				

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: At least consideration of the new limitations such as "add value to a gaming device via a voucher", "receiving player input defining a credit value, wherein the credit value is a value other than the standard denominations in which currency is isued"; and "determining the number of full and partial credits based upon the value of the voucher and the selected credit value" would require further consideration and/or search because the limitation is different from the claimed scope that was previously considered.

JOHN M. HOTALING, IF PRIMARY EXAMINER